



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/970,432   | 10/03/2001  | Harold O. Treece     | 30545.11                           | 4315             |
| 27683  | 7590        | 03/17/2006           |                                    |                  |
| HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP<br>901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100<br>DALLAS, TX 75202 |             |                      |                                    |                  |
|  |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                           | PAPER NUMBER     |

3671

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/970,432      | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>TREECE, HAROLD O. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Christopher J. Novosad | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3671                  |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Mr. Christopher J. Novosad (Primary Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Warren B Kice (Attorney for Applicant).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 March 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

1-51 and 90-108

**Prior art documents discussed:**


None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment that was filed in connection with the divisional of the above-identified reissue application was erroneously placed by the U.S. Patent Office in the file of the latter application. It is requested that a listing be submitted of the pending claims now in this reissue application..